- 5
111
Wi
77%
7/11
711

		,
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
A	09/687,130	COCHRAN ET AL.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Baoquoc N. To	2162
The MAILING DATE of this communication application application application and the second stress of the communication application and the communication application and the communication application and the communication application	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in 5) or other appropriate commining RIGHTS. This application is s	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. <b>THIS</b>
1. This communication is responsive to <u>04/18/2005</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-12 and 20-31</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examir	ner.	
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority of International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * Certified copies not received: ☐ Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.  5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be substited in the substited of the substited o	ve been received. ve been received in Application locuments have been received. To of this communication to file IMENT of this application.  mitted. Note the attached EXA ves reason(s) why the oath or ust be submitted. Trish Patent Drawing Review of the header according to 37 CF to sit of BIOLOGICAL MATE	on No d in this national stage application from the e a reply complying with the requirements  AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF r declaration is deficient.  V ( PTO-948) attached r in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the back) of R 1.121(d).  ERIAL must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s)  1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. ☑ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No./Mail Date  4. ☑ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	) 6. ⊠ Interview Si Paper No./ /08), 7. ⊠ Examiner's	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)  ummary (PTO-413), Mail Date <u>05/11/2005</u> . Amendment/Comment  Statement of Reasons for Allowance  JEAN M. CORRIELUS PRIMARY EXAMINER

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 9, 11-13, 20, 22-26 and 28-31 are amended in the amendment filed on 04/18/2005. Claims 1-13 and 15-31 are pending in this application.

# **Drawings**

2. The drawings filed on 10/12/2005 are acceptable subject to correction of the informalities. Please resubmit Formal drawing before the issue fee. In order to avoid abandonment of this application, correction is required in reply to the Office action. The correction will not be held in abeyance.

### **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

3. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Robert W. Bergstrom with Reg. No. 39,906 on May 11, 2005.

Please replace and cancel these claims as follow:

Please replace claim 1 with;--"1. A method for backing up a computer-readable object stored on a first logical unit of a mass-storage device, the method comprising:

when the object is not currently mirrored to a mass storage device, creating a mirror for the object on a second logical 'unit of the mass-storage device;

Art Unit: 2162

when the object and the mirror for the object are split, resyncing the object with the mirror for the object;

splitting the object and the mirror for the object so that the mirror for the object becomes a backup copy of the object and so that I/O requests directed to the object are not automatically directed to the mirror for the object;

retrieving a first instance of a current timestamp from associated, by the massstorage device with the second logical unit and saving it as a saved timestamp;

updating, by the mass-storage device, the current timestamp associated with the second logical unit upon executing any I/O operation directed to the second logical unit that alters data stored on the second logical unit;

when the object is determined to need to be restored from the backup copy,
retrieving a second instance of the current timestamp associated with the second
logical unit;

comparing the retrieved second instance of the current timestamp associated with the second logical unit to the saved timestamp; and

when the second instance of the current timestamp associated with the second logical unit is equal to the saved timestamp, copying the backup copy to the first logical unit."--

Please replace claim 7 with;--"7. A method for backing up a computer-readable object stored on a first logical unit of a mass-storage device, the method comprising:

when the object is not currently mirrored to a mass storage device, creating a mirror for the object on a second logical unit of the mass-storage device;

Art Unit: 2162

when the object and the mirror for the object are split, resyncing the object with the mirror for the object;

splitting the object and the mirror for the object so that the mirror for the object becomes a backup copy of the object and so that 1/0 requests directed to the object are not automatically directed to the mirror for the object;

retrieving a first instance of a current count associated, by the mass-storage device. with from the second logical unit and saving it as a saved count;

incrementing, by the mass-storage device, the current count associated with the second logical unit upon executing any Ia/0 operation directed to the second logical unit that alters data stored on the second logical unit;

when the object is determined to need to be restored from the backup copy, retrieving a second instance of the current count associated with the second logical unit comparing the retrieved second instance of the current count associated with the second logical unit to the saved count; and

when the second instance of the current count associated with the second logical unit is equal to the saved count, copying the backup copy to the first logical unit."—

Please cancel claim 13.

Please cancel claim 15.

Please cancel claim 16.

Please cancel claim 17.

Please cancel claim 18.

Art Unit: 2162

Please cancel claim 19.

Please replace claim 20 with;--"20. A mass storage device comprising:

data storage media;

logical unit s that write data to the media and read data from the media;

a memory component; and

a controller that backs up a computer-readable object stored on a first logical unit

by

when the object is not currently mirrored to another logical unit, creating a mirror for the object on a second logical unit;

when the object and the mirror for the object are split, resyncing the object with the mirror for the object;

splitting the object and the mirror for the object so that the mirror for the object becomes a backup copy of the object and so that 1/0 requests directed to the object are not automatically directed to the mirror for the object;

retrieving a first instance of a current timestamp associated, by the logical unit and saving it as a saved controller with from the second timestamp;

updating, by the controller, the current timestamp associated with the second logical unit upon executing any I/O operation directed to the second logical unit that alters data stored on the second logical unit; and

when the object is determined to need to be restored from the backup copy,
retrieving a second instance of the current timestamp associated with the second
logical unit;

Art Unit: 2162

comparing the retrieved second instance of the current timestamp associated with the second logical unit to the saved timestamp; and

when the second instance of the current timestamp associated with the second logical unit is equal to the saved timestamp, copying the backup copy to the first logical unit."—

Place claim 26 with;--"26. A mass storage device comprising:

data storage media;

logical unit s that write data to the media and read data from the media;

a memory component; and

a controller that backs up a computer-readable object stored on a first logical unit by:

when the object is not currently mirrored to another logical unit, creating a mirror for the object on a second logical unit;

when the object and the mirror for the object are split, resyncing the object with the mirror for the object;

splitting the object and the mirror for the object so that the mirror for the object becomes a backup copy of the object and so that I/O requests directed to the object are not automatically directed to the mirror for the object;

retrieving a first instance of a current count associated, by the controller, with from the second logical unit and saving it as a saved count;

Art Unit: 2162

incrementing by the controller, the current count associated with the second logical unit upon executing any I/O operation directed to the second logical unit that alters data stored on the second logical unit; and

when the object is determined to need to be restored from the backup copy, retrieving a second instance of the current count associated with the second logical unit;

comparing the retrieved second instance of the current count associated with the second logical unit to the saved count; and

when the second instance of the current count associated with the second logical unit is equal to the saved count, copying the backup copy to the first logical unit."—

# Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-12 and 20-31 are allowed over prior art made of records.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As to claim 1, none of the known prior art alone or incombination neither teach nor suggest "when the object is determined to need to be restored from the backup copy, retrieving a second instance of the current timestamp associated with the second logical unit; comparing the retrieved second instance of the current timestamp associated with the second logical unit to the saved timestamp; and when the second instance of the current timestamp associated with the second logical unit is equal to the saved timestamp, copying the backup copy to the first logical unit" and in conjunction with "when the object is not currently mirrored to a mass storage device, creating a

mirror for the object on a second logical 'unit of the mass-storage device; when the object and the mirror for the object are split, resyncing the object with the mirror for the object; splitting the object and the mirror for the object so that the mirror for the object becomes a backup copy of the object and so that I/O requests directed to the object are not automatically directed to the mirror for the object; retrieving a first instance of a current timestamp from associated, by the mass-storage device with the second logical unit and saving it as a saved timestamp; updating, by the mass-storage device, the current timestamp associated with the second logical unit upon executing any I/O operation directed to the second logical unit that alters data stored on the second logical unit."

Claims 2-6 are depended on claim 1; therefore, they are allowed under the same reason.

Claim 7 is the same scope of claim 1; therefore, it is allowed under the same reason as to claim 1.

Claims 8-12 are depended on claim 7; therefore, they are allowed under the same reason as to claim 7.

Claim 20 is the computer software product to perform the method of claim 1, therefore, it is allowed under the same reason as to claim 1.

Claims 21-25 are depended on claim 20; therefore, they are rejected under the same reason as claim 20.

Claim 26 is a computer software product to perform the method of claim 7; therefore, it is allowed under the same reason as to claim 7.

Claims 27-31 depended on claim 26; therefore, they are rejected under the same reason as claim 26.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Cabrera et al. (US. Patent No. 6,453,325 B1) Patent date: 09/17/2002.

Method And Means For Backup And Restoration Of A Database System Linked

Beavin et al. (US. Patent No. 6,038,659) Patent date: 03/14/2000.

System For Data Structure Loading With Concurrent Image Copy.

**NPL** 

To A System For Filing Data.

Walter et al. (A Survey of Techniques for Synchronization and Recovery in Decentralized Computer Systems.

ACM computing Surveys, ACM Press, 1981, page 149-183.

Art Unit: 2162

## **Contact Information**

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Baoquoc N. To whose telephone number is at 571-272-4041 or via e-mail Baoquoc N. To@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 8:00 AM – 4:30 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached at 571-272-4107.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231.

The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follow:

(703) 872-9306 [Official Communication]

Baoquoc N. To May 11, 2005

JEAN M. CORRILLANDER